

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,475	04/02/2004		Jung Hee Lee	9951-003US	3214
22897	7590	06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
DEMONT	& BREY	ER, LLC		SASTRI, S	АТҮА В
SUITE 250 100 COMM	ONS WA	Y	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOLMDEL			1713		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/817,475	LEE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Satya B. Sastri	1713
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
D!14!	·	in pullo Quaylo, 1000 o.b. 11, 40	
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	on of Claims Claim(s) 1,6 and 8-21 is/are pending in the approximate the approximate that allowed claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 6, 8-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen —		_	
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to amendment filed on May 3, 2006. *Claims 1, 6, 8-21* are now pending in the application.
- 2. All previous rejections are rendered moot by the amendment filed on May 3, 2006. Furthermore, new grounds of rejection are necessitated by the amendment and therefore, this action is made final.

Claim Objection

3. Claim 1 is objected to for incorrect spelling of "polyolefin" in line of claim 1.

Additionally, the phrase "copolymers of different olefins" is misleading in the claim language because the invention is not restricted to olefinic monomers alone. As noted in the instant specification, on page 9, olefinic monomers are copolymerized with other comonomers (such as vinyl acetate, ethyl acrylate and butyl acrylate which are not olefins). Olefinic monomers typically refer to hydrocarbon monomers only. Appropriate corrections are requested.

Previously Cited Statutes

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

5. Claims 1, 6, 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (KR 9204784 B) in view of Kojima et al. (US 5,338,780).

The prior art to Lee concerns resin composition for insulating cables comprising 100 part by wt. of polyethylene as base resin, 0.3-0.8 part by wt. of carbon black with a surface area in the range of 90-110 m2/g and a particle size of 20-30 nm and 0.1 to 0.8 part of antioxidant.

The difference between the prior art and the instant invention is that the prior art does not specifically teach the inclusion of UV and light stabilizers or the type of antioxidants in the composition.

Prior art to Kojima et al. is in an analogous field and discloses a polyolefin resin containing carbon black is blended with (B) a phenolic compound of formula (I), (C) an organic sulfur compound such as (II-1) or (II-2), (D) a piperidine compound in amounts of 0.01 to 1 part and (E) an epoxy compound of Bisphenol A type glycidyl ether (abstract). The polyolefin resins disclosed include polyethylene, polypropylene, copolymers of olefins with acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, vinyl acetate etc. (column 2, lines 16-33). The polyolefin resin may additionally antioxidants, stabilizers etc. Disclosed antioxidants include phenolic antioxidants other than (B), sulfur antioxidants other than component (C) and phosphorus containing antioxidants (column 7, lines 42-68 and column 8, lines 1-14). The light stabilizers may be those based on benzotriazoles, benzophenones, hydroxybenzoates etc. disclosed in column 8, lines 19-43. Piperidine compounds are those disclosed in column 3, lines 59-67, columns 4-6, column 9, lines 43-56 and disclosed organic sulfur containing compounds include the instantly claimed compounds of claim 20 (column 3, lines 46-58, column 9, lines 42-55). Light stabilizers based on benzotriazoles and benzophenones are disclosed as capable of absorbing ultraviolet radiation

Art Unit: 1713

(column 8, lines 15-44) and thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include 0.01 to 1 part of piperidine and bezophenone and/or benzotriazole type additives in the composition of Lee and thereby obtain the instant invention.

It is noted that the prior art to Kojima et al. discloses polyolefin compositions containing carbon black with additives B-E exhibit stability against excellent thermal oxidation (column 1, lines 40-45). It is also noted that piperidines in the prior art are effective as antioxidants while the same class of compounds are recited as light stabilizers in the claims.

With regard to claim 12, it is noted that the prior art Kojima et al. recognizes that a variety of piperidines based on 2,2,6,6 piperidine are useful as stabilizers in polyolefin compositions (column 4, lines 20-42). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include 2,6,6,6 piperidine compounds such as those claimed instantly in the compositions of Kojima et al. and thereby obtain the instant invention, absent a showing of unexpected results.

6. Claims 1, 6, 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima et al. (US 5,338,780) in view of Lee (US 6,197,852).

Prior art to Kojima et al. discloses a polyolefin resin (A) containing carbon black is blended with (B) a phenolic compound of formula (I), (C) an organic sulfur compound such as (II-1) or (II-2), (D) a piperidine compound and (E) an epoxy compound of Bisphenol A type glycidyl ether (abstract). The polyolefin resins disclosed include polyethylene, polypropylene, copolymers of olefins with acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, vinyl acetate etc. (column 2, lines 16-33). Carbon blacks such as acetylene black, furnace black etc. with a particle size less than 30

Art Unit: 1713

μm from the viewpoint of dispersibility and may be used in amounts of 0.05-10%w/w (column 2, lines 45-64). The amounts of (B) to (E), based on 100 parts of polyolefin resin containing carbon black may range from 0.01-1 part by wt. of phenolic compound (B), 0.02-2 parts by wt. of organic sulfur compound (C), 0.01-1 part by wt. of piperidine compound (D) and 5 parts by wt. of E (column 7, lines 20-42). The polyolefin resin may additionally antioxidants, stabilizers etc. Disclosed antioxidants include phenolic antioxidants other than (B), sulfur antioxidants other than component (C) and phosphorus containing antioxidants (column 7, lines 42-68 and column 8, lines 1-14). The light stabilizers may be those based on benzotriazoles, benzophenones, hydroxybenzoates etc. disclosed in column 8, lines 19-43. Piperidine compounds are those disclosed in column 3, lines 59-67, columns 4-6, column 9, lines 43-56 and disclosed organic sulfur containing compounds include the instantly claimed compounds of claim 20 (column 3, lines 46-58, column 9, lines 42-55).

The difference between the prior art and the instant invention is that the prior art does not specifically teach the particle carbon black filler size of 30 nm.

The secondary reference to Lee is in an analogous field of polyolefin compositions with carbon black. The prior art teaches than that it is particularly advantageous to use smaller particle size, in the range of 10-60 nm, to effectively disperse carbon black in thermoplastic materials (column 3, lines 55-67 and column 4, lines 1-9). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include carbon black having particle size 10-60 nm in the compositions of Kojima et al. and thereby obtain the instant invention. It is the examiner's position that the surface area and particle size are related and the carbon black particles of Lee would intrinsically have the instantly claimed surface areas.

Additionally, the prior art to Lee discloses compositions based on LDPE, MDPE or HDPE with a melt index of 0.1to 100 g/10 min. (column 3, lines 26-35).

With regard to claim 12, the prior art Kojima et al. recognizes that a variety of piperidines based on 2,2,6,6 piperidine are useful as stabilizers in polyolefin compositions (column 4, lines 20-42). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include 2,6,6,6 piperidine compounds such as those claimed instantly in the compositions of Kojima et al. and thereby obtain the instant invention, absent a showing of unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' amendment introduces limitations with regard to particle dimension of carbon black. Applicants argue that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include carbon black having a particle size of 10-60 nm of Lee in the compositions of Kojima et al. It is noted that Kojima et al. disclose the limitation of "a particle size less than 30 µm from the view point of dispersibility and may be used in amounts of 0.05-10%w/w (column 2, lines 45-64)". Thus, clearly, the lower limit is not disclosed with the implication that smaller particles are preferred from the point of view of dispersibility. The secondary reference to Lee et al. reinforces the same and clearly teaches "that it is particularly advantageous to use smaller particle size, in the range of 10-60 nm, to effectively disperse carbon black in thermoplastic materials (column 3, lines 55-67 and column 4, lines 1-9)".

Application/Control Number: 10/817,475 Page 7

Art Unit: 1713

It is noted that the prior art to Kojima et al. discloses polyolefin compositions containing carbon black with additives B-E exhibit stability against excellent thermal oxidation (column 1, lines 40-45). It is also noted that piperidines in the prior art are effective as antioxidants while the same class of compounds are recited as light stabilizers in the instant claims. Thus, Kojima et al. disclose compositions comprising polyolefin (A) type base resin, carbon black, phenolic-type antioxidant (B) and piperidines (useful as UV stabilizer) (D) in the compositions and differ from the instant invention only in the particle size of carbon black used.

Action Is Final

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,475 Page 8

Art Unit: 1713

Future Correspondence

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Satya Sastri whose telephone number is 571-272-1112.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular

communications. The unofficial direct fax phone number to the Examiner's desk is 571-273-

1112.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SATYA SASTRI

. Salya sali

June 16, 2006

DAVID W. WU

TOY/ISORY PATENT EXAMINER

" OLOGY CENTER 1700